

8/22/22

Judge Kyle Hawthorne Principal = Agent  
85<sup>th</sup> District Court Agent = Principal  
Bryan Texas



RF 190 721 491 US

Del 200, August 2005

PSN 7690-03-000-931

RF 190 721 491 US

This is a testimony in the form  
of an Affidavit of Truth written by the  
counsel Dwight - David I Bollin, chosen by  
Larry - Winston I Bollin.

1) Any presumption of Guilt is  
refuted and no corporate private Bar  
Guild member has jurisdiction regarding  
public record in public service sworn  
to their solemn secret absolute oath to  
their private Bar Guild oath in contradiction  
to their Constitutional oath of both the  
United States for America or the Constitution  
of Texas State. This Superior oath  
is in contradiction to the Constitutional oath,  
conflict of interest.

2) Larry - Winston I Bollin i has never  
given his consent to be held.

3) Larry - Winston I Bollin i has been  
given an exorbitant bail of 3.2 million dollars.

4) Larry - Winston: Bollin has been held and imprisoned for debt, which is in violation of the Texas Constitution, the bond is a security note. He has been in a hostile environment without a trial for sixteen months with no means to formulate a defense.

5) All of your members of the Bar Guild oath takers are traitors and are subservient to the British crown and show no allegiance to the Americans, which you are to stand for and violate Article 1 section 29 of the Texas Corporate Constitution, Transgressions of high powers of which all you Bar Guild oath takers are Betrayers and Violators of.

6) All British Accredidation Registry oath takers are guilty of injury to all they are supposed to speak for and have no immunity and should recuse themselves every time they violate any Constitutional oath for the Texas State or the Constitution

of the United States for America.

7) All custody or presumption of  
Summons has been forced by involuntary  
servitude as a pauper which, I, Harry-  
Winston Bollin, have never consented to  
this system of Slavery, I, never contracted  
to or by your Corporate by Laws, Statutes,  
Rules, Regulations, Policies or honest and  
open transparent agreements for my false  
arrest and imprisonment,

8) According to your private Bar  
Guld I, Harry-Winston Bollin, am not  
a public servant or government employee  
therefore not a trustee, but arrive in  
court only by invitation and you can't  
claim jurisdiction simply by my appearance.  
I Harry-Winston Bollin are both the  
Executor and Beneficiary of my Cetagon  
Vie Trust and rebut any claims by the  
Judge (Administrator) or the prosecutor over  
me as his slave,

9) The prosecutor or judge are not the executor or beneficiary of my trust under their false and fake position of executor or beneficiary nor do you have the right to falsely arrest or imprison me and fine or force me into a psychiatric evaluation. I, Harry-Winston { Bollin actively rebuke and rebutt and object to any contrary presumptions at the time of pleading, that you, the Judge and the prosecutor are incompetent,

10) I'm Innocent of Murder and demand you, Judge Hawthorne of the 85th District Court, to dismiss this case against Harry-Winston { Bollin Sui Juris, whom defended himself Justifiably with a reasonable belief that my life was in immediate danger and impending peril and that injury was done by I, Harry-Winston { Bollin in justifiable self defense and can never be punished criminally nor held responsible for damages in a civil action per Baltimore Transit Co. v Faulkner 179 Md. 598,

20 A 2d 485, 487, I, Harry - Winston Bollin  
Demand a dismissal with extreme  
prejudice and actively rebuke, rebutt and  
object and Do Not Consent to any  
and all presumptions, assumptions and  
hearsay to be brought up by any private  
Bar Guild members,

II) Texas Constitution Art. 1 Sec. 11 + 13 Bail  
Prevented bail after indictment / Cruel & Unusual Punishment

Dwight - David : Bollin  
by: dwight - david i bollin  
Sui Juris, Counsel-In-Fact  
Beneficiary / Executor

8/22/22

To: Judge Kyle Hawthorne Principal = Agent  
85<sup>th</sup> District Court Agent = Principal  
Bryan Texas

This is a testimony in the form  
of an Affidavit of Demand to Dismiss  
with Extreme Prejudice by Counsel Dwight R. Bellin,  
chosen by Harry - Winston I. Bellin.

1) Insufficient disclosure of evidence  
or discovery shared with the defendant, I.  
Harry - Winston I. Bellin, so as to be able to  
formulate a sufficient defense.

2) Motion to dismiss with Extreme  
Prejudice on grounds you have no Jurisdiction,  
Laws, Codes, Rules, Regulations or Ordinances  
that apply to this case as according to the  
Clearfield Doctrine. Since governments  
have descended to the level of mere  
private corporations and take on the  
characteristics of a mere private citizen  
where private corporate commercial paper  
(Federal Reserve Notes) and securities  
(checks) is concerned. for purposes of  
suit, such corporations and individuals  
are regarded as entities, entirely separate  
from government. Supreme Court Annotated  
Statute, Clearfield Trust Co v United States  
318 U.S. 363 - 371 1942.

1062

3) I, Harry-Winston J. Bollin was threatened by all parties involved to be decapitated and dismembered according to the modus operandi of MS13 Gang Members.

4) I, Harry-Winston J. Bollin, feared for my life and the life of my family, as told to my Father the night before on CR 127 behind the house where I lived and during the incident on the phone on 4/8/21.

5) See Exhibit A, Page 1 + 2

Clearfield Doctrine,

6) Texas Constitution Arts. 1 / Sec. 11 + 13 Bail prevented bail after indictment / Cruel & Unusual Punishment

Dwight - David J. Bollin  
by Dwight - David J. Bollin  
Sui Juris, Counsel-In-Fact  
Bene & Cuius / Executor

To: Judge Kyle Hawthorne Principal=Agent  
85th District Court Agent=Principal  
Bryan TX

8/22/22

## Governments Have Descended to the Level of Mere Private Corporations



Supreme Court Building

### Clearfield Doctrine

Supreme Court Annotated Statute, Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States 318 U.S. 363-371 1942

Whereas defined pursuant to Supreme Court Annotated Statute: Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States 318 U.S. 363-371 1942: "Governments descend to the level of a mere private corporation, and take on the characteristics of a mere private citizen . . . where private corporate commercial paper [Federal Reserve Notes] and securities [checks] is concerned . . . For purposes of suit, such corporations and individuals are regarded as entities entirely separate from government."

What the Clearfield Doctrine is saying is that when private commercial paper is used by corporate government, then government loses its sovereignty status and becomes no different than a mere private corporation.

As such, government then becomes bound by the rules and laws that govern private corporations which means that if they intend to compel an individual to some specific performance based upon its corporate statutes or corporation rules, then the government, like any private corporation, must be the holder-in-due-course of a contract or other commercial agreement between it and the one upon who demands for specific performance are made.

And further, the government must be willing to enter the contract or commercial agreement into evidence before trying to get the court to enforce its demands, called statutes.

This case is very important because it is a 1942 case that was decided after the UNITED STATES CORPORATION COMPANY filed its "CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION" in the State of Florida (July 15, 1925). And it was decided AFTER the 'corporate government' agreed to use the currency of the private corporation, the FEDERAL RESERVE. The private currency, the Federal Reserve Note, is still in use today.

1062

**References:**

(i) **Articles of Incorporation of UNITED STATES CORPORATION COMPANY**  
<http://anticorruptionsociety.files.wordpress.com/2014/01/articles-of-incorporation-of-u-s-corp-company.pdf>

(ii) From *The Great American Adventure* by Judge Dale, retired. (pages 93-94)  
<http://anticorruptionsociety.files.wordpress.com/2013/07/the-great-american-adventure-complete-work-by-judge-dale.pdf>

[4] *Corporations are not and can never be SOVEREIGN. They are not real, they are a fiction and only exist on paper.*

[5] *Therefore, all laws created by these government corporations are private corporate regulations called public law, statutes, codes and ordinances to conceal their true nature. Do the Judge and your lawyer know about this? You bet they do!*

[6] *Since these government bodies are not SOVEREIGN, they cannot promulgate or enforce CRIMINAL LAWS; they can only create and enforce CIVIL LAWS, which are duty bound to comply with the LAW of CONTRACTS. The Law of Contracts requires signed written agreements and complete transparency! Did you ever agree to be arrested and tried under any of their corporate statutes? For that matter, did you ever agree to contract with them by agreeing to be sued for violating their corporate regulations?*

[8] *Enforcement of these corporate statutes by local, state and federal law enforcement officers are unlawful actions being committed against the SOVEREIGN public and these officers can be held personally liable for their actions. [Bond v. U.S., 529 US 334-2000]*

(iii) *Our Government is Just Another Corporation*  
<http://anticorruptionsociety.com/is-our-government-just-another-corporation/>